








# Gastro-intestinal stromal tumor (GIST): Experience from a tertiary care center in a low resource country

M. Tayyab H. Siddiqui<sup>1</sup> , K. M Inam Pal<sup>2</sup> , Fatima Shaukat<sup>3</sup> , Aliza Fatima<sup>2</sup> , K. M Babar Pal<sup>4</sup> , Jibran Abbasy<sup>5</sup> , Noman Shazad<sup>6</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Clinic of Surgery, Patel Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup> Clinic of Surgery, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup> Department of Radiation Oncology, Cyberknife & Tomotherapy Center, Jpmc, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup> Student at Dow International Medical College, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>5</sup> Clinic of Surgery, University Hospital Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

<sup>6</sup> Clinic of Surgery, Doncaster and Bassetlaw Hospitals NHS Foundation, Yorkshire, United Kingdom

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** The aim of this retrospective study was to review the overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) of GISTs treated surgically at our center over the past decade.

**Material and Methods:** We undertook a 12-year retrospective review of our experience in treating this condition with a focus on long-term outcomes of treated patients in a resource-constrained environment. Incomplete follow-up information continues to be a major problem with studies conducted in low resource settings, and in order to overcome this, we undertook telephonic contact with patients or their relatives to get the necessary information about their clinical status.

**Results:** Fifty-seven patients with GIST underwent surgical resection during this period of time. The stomach was the most common organ involved in the disease, with 74% of the patients. Surgical resection was the main treatment approach, with R0 resection possible in 88%. Nine percent of the patients were given Imatinib as neoadjuvant treatment and 61% were offered the same, as adjuvant therapy. The duration of adjuvant treatment changed from one year to three years over the study period. Pathological risk assessment categorized the patients as Stage I, 33%; Stage II, 19%; Stage III, 39%; and Stage IV, 9%. Of the 40 patients who were at least three years from surgery, 35 were traceable giving an 87.5%, overall three-year survival. Thirty-one patients (77.5%) were confirmed to be disease-free at three years.

**Conclusion:** This is the first report of mid-long-term outcomes of the multimodality treatment of GIST from Pakistan. Upfront surgery continues to be the main modality. OS & DFS in resource-poor environments can be similar to those seen in a better-structured healthcare setting.

**Keywords:** Survival, gastro intestinal stromal tumor, surgery

## INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs), albeit rare, are the most common mesenchymal tumor of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract (1). They account for 1-2% of GI tumors (2) with an incidence of approximately 10-15 per million population per year (3). GISTs originate from the malignant transformation of the interstitial cells of Cajal and c-KIT positive cells of neuroendocrine origin that control gut motility (4). They are most commonly found in the stomach (60%) and proximal portions of the small intestine (30%); however, any portion of the GI tract may be affected. Occasionally, they may originate in extra-gastrointestinal sites such as the omentum, mesentery and peritoneum (2,3,5). GISTs have been categorized into very low, low, intermediate and high-risk tumors, with location, size and mitotic activity acting as predictors of recurrence and metastatic potential (6-8). Eighty to eighty-five percent of GISTs are localized when diagnosed (5,9). While lymph node metastases are rare, intra-abdominal and liver metastasis are not (10).

Gastro-intestinal stromal tumors are generally resistant to the effects of traditional chemo and radio-therapy and till a couple of decades ago, surgical removal was the only treatment option (2,11). Introduction of targeted therapy in the form of Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in the early 2000s revolutionized the treatment options (12-14). TKIs have been shown to increase median progression free survival and overall survival in both adjuvant and neo-adjuvant settings (10,15,16).

**Cite this article as:** Siddiqui MTH, Pal KMI, Shaukat F, Fatima A, Pal KMB, Abbasy J, et al. Gastro-intestinal stromal tumor (GIST): Experience from a tertiary care center in a low resource country. Turk J Surg 2022; 38 (4): 362-367.

### Corresponding Author

Fatima Shaukat

E-mail: drfatimaali89@gmail.com

Received: 29.04.2022

Accepted: 24.11.2022

Available Online Date: 20.12.2022

© Copyright 2022 by Turkish Surgical Society Available online at [www.turkjsurg.com](http://www.turkjsurg.com)

DOI: 10.47717/turkjsurg.2022.5746

We conducted a retrospective study to review overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) of GISTs treated surgically at our center over the past decade. We also reviewed the association of OS and DFS with clinic-pathological features of GIST and its management. To the best of our understanding, there has been no publication with long term survival information on surgically treated GISTs from our geographical locale.

## MATERIAL and METHODS

This is an ambi-directional cohort study, in which the assessment of exposure status was determined retrospectively from the records, and the outcomes of overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) were assessed prospectively. All patients with GIST diagnosed on histopathology and having undergone surgery, from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2007 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2019, were eligible for inclusion.

Patients with prior history of malignancies or incomplete records were excluded. A total of 57 patients were included in this study. The collected data included patients' age, sex, clinical presentations, radiological investigations, laboratory findings, pathological findings, tumor characteristics (mitotic rate, immuno-histochemical analysis etc.), surgical procedures, and perioperative complications.

For overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) at three years, patients who were at least three years from surgery, till 31<sup>st</sup> December 2016, (n= 40) were included. Recurrence and survival data were recorded from clinical records during the follow up period.

In cases of incomplete information, individual patients or close relatives were telephoned using contact details provided on admission to update their current status. After obtaining informed consent, inquiries were made regarding disease status, whether or not the patient was still alive, and if deceased, what the cause of death was. At least three calls were made, at different time intervals, before a patient was labelled "lost to follow-up".

When evaluating three-year overall survival (OS), 35 of the 40 patients were included, as survival data could not be obtained for the remaining five. In the case of three-year disease free survival (DFS), 31 patients out of 40 were included for analysis as no recurrence data was available for six patients while the remaining three had Stage IV disease at presentation.

Approval from the Ethics Review Committee (ERC) was obtained before data collection, with ERC# 2020-5026-11860. Data was entered and analyzed using SPSS version-21 (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Quantitative (continuous) variables were expressed using descriptive statistics such as mean  $\pm$  SD and median (IQR). Qualitative variables were reported as percentages. Survival analysis was performed with Kaplan-Meier methods,

while differences in survival between the groups was assessed with log-rank tests. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

## RESULTS

A total of 57 patients with GIST underwent surgical resection during the study period. There were 34 (60%) males and 23 (40%) females with a median age of 61 years. Thirty-four (60%) patients had associated comorbidities. Majority of the patients, 47 (82%), were admitted electively for surgery. Most patients had clinical symptoms or signs that led to the diagnosis with GI bleeding in 28 (49%), abdominal pain in 23 (40%) and weight loss in 11 (19%) of the patients making up the commonest.

Table 1 summarizes patients' characteristics. Stomach was the most common organ involved (n= 42, 74%) followed by the small intestine (n= 9, 16%). Mean tumor size was 8 cm (+/-SD 5 cm). On microscopy, mitotic rate per 50 high power fields (HPF) was less than 5 in 32 (56%) patients and more than 5 per 50 HPF in 25 (44%) patients.

Spindle cell type was the commonest histological variant, seen in 45 (79%) tumors. On immunohistochemical analysis, CD 17 positivity was seen in 48 (84%), CD 34 positivity in 44 (77%) and DOG 1 positivity in 32 (56%) patients. Pathological risk assignment placed 18 (32%) patients in very low to low risk, nine (16%) in intermediate and 28 (49%) patients in high-risk category, whereas, two (3.5%) patients did not have viable tumor in final histopathology because of neo-adjuvant treatment.

On final staging, 19 (33%) patients were in Stage I, 11 (19%) in Stage II, 22 (39%) in Stage III and five in Stage IV. Table 2 summarizes tumor characteristics.

Upfront surgery was the main stay of treatment for 52 (90%) patients. Five patients (8.7%) were offered neo-adjuvant treatment with first line tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), Imatinib.

**Table 1.** Patients' characteristics (n= 57)

Patients' characteristics		
Age	Median (IQR)	61 (53-70)
Sex	Male	34 (59.6%)
	Female	23 (40.4%)
Co-morbidities	Yes	34 (59.6%)
Mode of admission	Elective	47 (82.5%)
	Emergency	10 (17.5%)
Reason for presentation	Incidental	9 (15.8%)
	Symptoms	48 (84.2%)
Symptoms	Pain	23 (40.4%)
	Bleeding	28 (49.1%)
	Weight loss	11 (19.3%)
	Others	4 (7%)

**Table 2.** Tumor characteristics

Tumor characteristics		
Site	Stomach	42 (73.68%)
	Small intestine	9 (15.8%)
	Rectum	2 (3.5%)
	Other	4 (7%)
Size	Mean	8.06 (5.3)
Mitotic rate (HPF/50)	≤5	32 (56%)
	>5	25 (44%)
Immunohistochemical analysis	CD 17	48 (84.2%)
	CD 34	44 (77.2%)
	DOG 1	32 (56.1%)
Risk assessment	Very low	3 (5.3%)
	Low	15 (26.3%)
	Intermediate	9 (15.8%)
	High	28 (49.1)
	Not documented	2 (3.5%)
Final stage	I	19 (33.33%)
	II	11 (19.29%)
	III	22 (38.59%)
	IV	5 (8.8%)

Thirty-five patients (61%) received adjuvant treatment following surgery with the same drug. The duration of adjuvant therapy changed overtime from one year in the initial few years to three years at present.

An R0 resection was possible in 50 patients (88%). Mean duration of surgery was 144 minutes. Median length of hospital stay was eight days (7-9 days). Eleven patients (19%) had postoperative complications. There was one 30-day mortality. Early surgical outcomes are presented in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Surgical outcomes of GIST resection

Surgical outcomes of GIST resection		
Duration of surgery (mins)	Mean	144 (SD 80)
Length of stay (days)	Median	8 (7-9)
Margin	RO	50 (88%)
	R1	7 (12%)
Post-operative complication	Yes	11 (19%)
Complications	SSI	5 (9%)
	Intra-abdominal collection	2 (3.5%)
	Other	4 (7%)
30-day mortality	Yes	1 (1%)

SSI: Surgical site infection.

Three-year overall survival was 92%, whereas, three-year DFS was 87% in the study population. On univariate analysis, age, sex, comorbidities, chief complaints, organ involved, risk category, stage at presentation, adjuvant or neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, and negative resection margin were not found to be significant for three-year OS&DFS.

A total of eight patients (14%) died by the end of the study period, with four due to disease progression, three due to unrelated medical conditions, and one due to postoperative complications. At the end of the study period, 10 patients (17.5%) were known to be living with recurrence.

## DISCUSSION

This study aimed to present short and long-term outcomes for surgically treated localized GISTs managed at a single tertiary care center. Reports on clinicopathological features and treatment undertaken have been published before from our geographical area (17-20); to the best of our understanding, this is the first report that presents long term outcomes of treatment by actively tracing patients lost to routine follow up.

We included 57 patients based on our selection criteria over a 12-year period. Median age at presentation was 61 (IQR 53-70) years, which correlates well with international literature (21). A male preponderance (60%) noted in our study was in contrast to international epidemiological review (3), the reasons for which is unclear; however, it could be related to the relatively small sample size of the study group. Stomach was the most commonly involved organ, followed by the small intestine and rectum, and these findings were in concordance with other studies (21,22). In our study, 16% of the tumors were identified incidentally, the rest presenting with clinical symptoms. Gastrointestinal bleeding was the most common symptom followed by pain (19,23,24).

Mean resected tumor size was 8 cm, and the predominant histological subtype was spindle cell type, followed by epithelioid and mixed type. Fifty-six percent of the tumors showed a mitotic rate per 50 high power fields (HPF) of less than 5. Immunohistochemistry showed gene expressions of CD117 and CD34 to be 84% and 77% respectively, these results were consistent with the international literature (19,22,24).

Upfront surgery was the main modality of treatment. Five patients received neo-adjuvant treatment and 35 (61%) received adjuvant therapy with Imatinib. The choice and duration of systemic therapy was variable in our patients ranging from 6-36 months, which was influenced by oncology recommendations and also patients' ability to tolerate and afford potentially expensive treatment.

Our study showed a three-year OS of 92%. Survival data for treated GISTs has tended to be variable in different studies (10,25,26).

Due to the inconsistent biological behavior of these tumors, clinical risk factors like, age, tumor size, mitotic rate, site of tumor, adjuvant treatment, and negative resection margin have been used to develop prognostic models to predict the relative risk of recurrence and metastasis (6,22,26-28). These can be used to identify patients suitable for targeted therapy. Sixty-three percent of our cohort received adjuvant Imatinib treatment. Our study was unable to identify any statistically significant factors affecting OS.

Three-year disease-free survival in our patients was noted to be 87%. A weakness of our follow up methodology was reliance on telephonic interaction for disease recurrence. In developing countries with weak health care infrastructure, quality tertiary medical care is often provided by the private sector and is expensive as a result. In addition, tertiary medical centers are few and far between, it is not unusual for patients with major illness to have to travel long distances for treatment. With medical insurance almost nonexistent, these patients usually have to self-fund the cost of both travel and treatment, a combination that can be financially exhausting. Following successful surgical treatments, patients at times do not have the resources for prolonged follow up and tend to drop out, especially if asymptomatic. With wide availability of mobile phone connectivity, we decided on this approach, considering it better than not having follow up data.

There has been a paradigm shift in the management of GIST in last two decades due to the addition of targeted therapy with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI). In our 12-year study duration, TKI usage was initially in the adjuvant setting but more recently has been used in the neo-adjuvant role as well. Duration of adjuvant treatment has been changing, with initial one-year treatment to three-year at present.

## CONCLUSION

We present the first report of mid and long-term outcomes for the multimodality treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) from Pakistan. Due to the inadequately developed medical coverage, most patients present with locally advanced tumor. Upfront surgery continues to be the main treatment approach. Availability of TKI in the neoadjuvant and adjuvant setting has increased treatment options. Despite advanced disease and limited resources, our survival outcomes are comparable with other studies. This concludes that overall and disease-free survival in resource-poor environments can be similar to those seen in better structured health care settings.

**Ethics Committee Approval:** This study was approved by The Aga Khan University Ethics Review Committee with effect from 22.08.2020.

**Peer-review:** Externally peer-reviewed.

**Author Contributions:** Concept – TS, IP; Design – TS, JA, AF; Supervision – TS, IP, FS; Data Collection and/ or Processing – TS, JA, AF; Analysis and/or Interpretation – TS, NS, FS; Literature Search – TS, BP, JA; Writing Manuscript – TS, BP, FS, NS, AF; Critical Reviews – TS, IP, FS, AF, NS, IP.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors report no proprietary or commercial interest in any product mentioned or concept discussed in this article.

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

## REFERENCES

1. Ducimetière F, Lurkin A, Ranchere-Vince D, Decouvelaere AV, Peoc'h M, Istier L, et al. Incidence of sarcoma histotypes and molecular subtypes in a prospective epidemiological study with central pathology review and molecular testing. *PLoS One* 2011; 6(8): 20294. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020294>
2. Parab TM, DeRogatis MJ, Boaz AM, Grasso SA, Issack PS, Duarte DA, et al. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: A comprehensive review. *J Gastrointest Oncol* 2019; 10(1): 144-54. <https://doi.org/10.21037/jgo.2018.08.20>
3. Søreide K, Sandvik OM, Søreide JA, Giljaca V, Jureckova A, Bulusu VR. Global epidemiology of gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST): A systematic review of population-based cohort studies. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2016; 40: 39-46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2015.10.031>
4. Joensuu H. Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST). *Ann Oncol* 2006; 17(10): 280-6. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdl274>
5. Joensuu H, Hohenberger P, Corless CL. Gastrointestinal stromal tumour. *Lancet* 2013; 382(9896): 973-83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)60106-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60106-3)
6. Fletcher CD, Berman JJ, Corless C, Gorstein F, Lasota J, Longley BJ, et al. Diagnosis of gastrointestinal stromal tumors: A consensus approach. *Hum Pathol* 2002; 33(5): 459-65. <https://doi.org/10.1053/hupa.2002.123545>
7. Miettinen M, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: Review on morphology, molecular pathology, prognosis, and differential diagnosis. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2006; 130(10): 1466-78. <https://doi.org/10.5858/2006-130-1466-GSTROM>
8. Joensuu H, Martin-Broto J, Nishida T, Reichardt P, Schöffski P, Maki RG. Follow-up strategies for patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumour treated with or without adjuvant imatinib after surgery. *Eur J Cancer* 2015; 51(12): 1611-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2015.05.009>
9. Woodall CE, Brock GN, Fan J, Byam JA, Scoggins CR, McMasters KM, et al. An evaluation of 2537 gastrointestinal stromal tumors for a proposed clinical staging system. *Arch Surg* 2009; 144(7): 670-8. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.2009.108>
10. Al-Kalaawy M, El-Zohairy MA, Mostafa A, Al-Kalaawy A, El-Sebae H. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs), 10-year experience: Patterns of failure and prognostic factors for survival of 127 patients. *J Egypt Natl Canc Inst* 2012; 24(1): 31-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnci.2011.12.005>
11. Chaudhry UI, DeMatteo RP. Management of resectable gastrointestinal stromal tumor. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am* 2009; 23(1): 79-96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hoc.2009.01.001>

12. Joensuu H, Vehtari A, Riihimäki J, Nishida T, Steigen SE, Brabec P, et al. Risk of recurrence of gastrointestinal stromal tumour after surgery: An analysis of pooled population-based cohorts. *Lancet Oncol* 2012; 13(3): 265-74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(11\)70299-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70299-6)
13. Joensuu H, Eriksson M, Hall KS, Hartman JT, Pink D, Schütte J, et al. One vs three years of adjuvant imatinib for operable gastrointestinal stromal tumor: A randomized trial. *JAMA* 2012; 307(12): 1265-72. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2012.347>
14. Casali PG, Le Cesne A, Velasco AP, Kotasek D, Rutkowski P, Hohenberger P, et al. Imatinib failure-free survival (IFS) in patients with localized gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) treated with adjuvant imatinib (IM): The EORTC/AGITG/FSG/GEIS/ISG randomized controlled Phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2013; 31(15). [https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2013.31.15\\_suppl.10500](https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2013.31.15_suppl.10500)
15. Blay JY, Le Cesne A, Cassier PA, Ray-Coquard L. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST): A rare entity, a tumor model for personalized therapy, and yet ten different molecular subtypes. *Discov Med* 2012; 13(72): 357-67.
16. Blanke CD, Rankin C, Demetri GD, Ryan CW, von Mehren M, Benjamin RS, et al. Phase III randomized, intergroup trial assessing imatinib mesylate at two dose levels in patients with unresectable or metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumors expressing the kit receptor tyrosine kinase: S0033. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; 26(4): 626-32. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.13.4452>
17. Din NU, Ahmad Z, Arshad H, Idrees R, Kayani N. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: A clinicopathologic and risk stratification study of 255 cases from Pakistan and review of literature. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2015; 16(12): 4873-80. <https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2015.16.12.4873>
18. Ladha A, Shaikh MU. Response of imatinib mesylate in patients with gastrointestinal stromal cell tumour. *JPMA. J Pak Med Assoc* 2008; 58(12): 696.
19. Urooj R, Mirza MR, Ahmed QJ, Habib L, Jaleel F, Dawani A, et al. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: A retrospective analysis at Hamdard University Hospital, Karachi. *Pak J Surg* 2012; 28(4): 247-50.
20. Hashmi AA, Faraz M, Nauman Z, Qureshi MU, Hashmi SK, Waseem HF, et al. Clinicopathologic features and prognostic grouping of gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) in Pakistani patients: An institutional perspective. *BMC Res Notes* 2018; 11: 457. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3562-8>
21. Cichoż-Lach H, Kasztelan-Szczerbinska B, Slomka M. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: Epidemiology, clinical picture, diagnosis, prognosis and treatment. *Pol Arch Med Wewn* 2008; 118(4): 216-21. <https://doi.org/10.20452/pamw.364>
22. DeMatteo RP, Gold JS, Saran L, Gönen M, Liau KH, Maki RG, et al. Tumor mitotic rate, size, and location independently predict recurrence after resection of primary gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST). *Cancer* 2008; 112(3): 608-15. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.23199>
23. Abdel-Monem S, Enaba MM, Hassan TA, Attia MA. Multislice CT imaging of gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs). *Egypt J Radiol Nucl Med* 2011; 42(1): 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejnm.2011.01.006>
24. Al-Thani H, El-Menyar A, Rasul KI, Al-Sulaiti M, El-Mabrok J, Hajaji K, et al. Clinical presentation, management and outcomes of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Int J Surg* 2014; 12(10): 1127-33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2014.08.351>
25. Sorour MA, Kassem MI, Ghazal AE, El-Riwini MT, Nasr AA. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) related emergencies. *Intern J Surg* 2014; 12(4): 269-80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2014.02.004>
26. Liu X, Qiu H, Zhang P, Feng X, Chen T, Li Y, et al. Prognostic factors of primary gastrointestinal stromal tumors: A cohort study based on high-volume centers. *Chin J Cancer Res* 2018; 30(1): 61. <https://doi.org/10.21147/j.issn.1000-9604.2018.01.07>
27. Miettinen M, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: Pathology and prognosis at different sites. *Semin Diagn Pathol* 2006; 23(2): 70. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semdp.2006.09.001>
28. Huang HY, Li CF, Huang WW, Hu TH, Lin CN, Uen YH, et al. A modification of NIH consensus criteria to better distinguish the highly lethal subset of primary localized gastrointestinal stromal tumors: A subdivision of the original high-risk group on the basis of outcome. *Surgery* 2007; 141(6): 748-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2007.01.024>



## ORİJİNAL ÇALIŞMA-ÖZET

Turk J Surg 2022; 38 (4): 362-367

### Gastrointestinal stromal tümör (GIST): Düşük kaynaklara sahip bir ülkede üçüncü basamak bir merkezin deneyimi

M. Tayyab H. Siddiqui<sup>1</sup>, K. M Inam Pal<sup>2</sup>, Fatima Shaukat<sup>3</sup>, Aliza Fatima<sup>2</sup>, K. M Babar Pal<sup>4</sup>, Jibran Abbasy<sup>5</sup>, Noman Shazad<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Patel Hastanesi, Cerrahi Kliniği, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup> Aga Khan Üniversite Hastanesi, Cerrahi Kliniği, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup> Cyberknife & Tomoterapi Merkezi, Radyasyon Onkolojisi Bölümü, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup> Dow Uluslararası Tıp Okulu Öğrencisi, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>5</sup> Birmingham Üniversite Hastanesi, Cerrahi Kliniği, Birmingham, İngiltere

<sup>6</sup> Ulusal Sağlık Servisi Doncaster ve Bassetlaw Hastanesi, Cerrahi Kliniği, Yorkshire, İngiltere

#### ÖZET

**Giriş ve Amaç:** Bu retrospektif çalışmanın amacı, son on yılda merkezimizde cerrahi olarak tedavi edilen GİST'lerin genel sağkalımını (OS) ve hastalıksız sağkalımını (DFS) gözden geçirmektir.

**Gereç ve Yöntem:** Kaynakların kısıtlı olduğu bir ortamda tedavi edilmiş hastaların uzun dönem tedavi sonuçlarını, 12 yıllık bir geriye dönük incelemeyle araştırdık. Eksik takip bilgileri, kısıtlı kaynakların olduğu ortamlarda yürütülen çalışmalarda halen önemli bir sorundur ve bunu aşmak amacıyla hasta veya yakınları ile telefon görüşmesi yaparak klinik durumları hakkında bilgi aldık.

**Bulgular:** Bu süre zarfında GİST'li 57 hastaya cerrahi rezeksiyon uygulandı. Mide, hastaların %74'ü ile hastalığa en sık tutulan organdı. Ana tedavi yaklaşımı cerrahi rezeksiyondur ve %88 oranında R0 rezeksiyon mümkün oldu. Hastaların %9'una neoadjuvan tedavi olarak İmatinib verildi ve %61'ine aynı adjuvan tedavi önerildi. Adjuvan tedavi süresi, çalışma süresi boyunca bir yıldan üç yıla değişiklik gösterdi. Patolojik risk değerlendirildi. Hastaları Evre I, %33; Evre II, %19; Evre III, %39 ve Evre IV, %9 olarak kategorize etti. Ameliyatın üzerinden en az üç yıl geçmiş olan 40 hastadan 35'i izlenebilir durumdaydı ve toplamda %87,5'lik bir üç yıllık sağkalım sağladı. Otuz bir hastanın (%77,5) üç yılda hastalıksız olduğu bulundu.

**Sonuç:** Bu çalışma, Pakistan'dan GİST'in multimodalite tedavisi için orta-uzun vadeli sonuçların sunulduğu ilk rapordur. Primer cerrahi ana modalite olmaya devam etmektedir. Kaynak sıkıntısı yaşayan ortamlarda OS ve DFS, daha iyi yapılandırılmış bir sağlık hizmeti ortamında görülenlere benzer olabilir.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Sağkalım, gastrointestinal stromal tümör, cerrahi

**DOI:** 10.47717/turkjsurg.2022.5746